Novel binuclear copper(I) complexes with unusual azide and thiocyanate bridges. Crystal structures of $[Cu_2(\mu-Ph_2Ppypz)_2(\mu-1,1-N_3)]$ - $[ClO_4]\cdot Et_2O$ and $[Cu_2(\mu-Ph_2Ppypz)_2(\mu-1,1-SCN)][ClO_4]$ $[Ph_2Ppypz = 2-(diphenylphosphino)-6-(pyrazol-1-yl)pyridine]$



Shan-Ming Kuang," Zheng-Zhi Zhang,"," Qi-Guang Wang" and Thomas C. W. Mak ",","

^a The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong ^b Elemento-Organic Chemistry Laboratory, Nankai University, Tianjin, China

The first binuclear copper(1) complex with a μ -1,1-N₃ bridge, [Cu₂(μ -Ph₂Ppypz)₂(μ -1,1-N₃)][ClO₄]·Et₂O and [Cu₂(μ -Ph₂-Ppypz)₂(μ -1,1-SCN)] [ClO₄] [Ph₂Ppypz = 2-(diphenylphos-phino)-6-(pyrazol-1-yl)pyridine], which exhibits an unusual thiocyanate (μ -1,1-SCN) bridge, have been synthesised and characterised.

The pseudo-halides N_3^- and NCS⁻ are known to co-ordinate to metals in both the terminal and bridging modes. As bridging ligands, they can link a pair of metal centres in either an end-on (μ -1,1) or a side-on (μ -1,3) bonded fashion (see below).



Binuclear copper azide systems are of considerable interest due to the broad range of their structural and magnetic properties, and they have been widely explored as structural models for copper-containing enzymes involved in the reversible binding and activation of dioxygen, *e.g.* hemocyanin.¹ However, the vast majority of studies have focused on binuclear copper(II) azide complexes.² On the other hand, reports on binuclear copper(I) complexes are scarce and only one structure, namely that of [(Ph₃P)₂Cu(μ -1,3-N₃)Cu(PPh₃)₂] which exhibits a μ -1,3-N₃ bridge,³ has been established. To our knowledge, there is as yet no known example of a binuclear copper(I) with a μ -1,1-N₃ bridge. We report here the first structure of this kind in the binuclear copper(I) complex [Cu₂(μ -Ph₂Ppypz)₂(μ -1,1-N₃]]-[ClO₄]·Et₂O [Ph₂Ppypz = 2-(diphenylphosphino)-6-(pyrazol-1yl)pyridine].

Analogous binuclear copper thiocyanate complexes usually exhibit the μ -1,3-NCS co-ordination mode.⁴ Only one binuclear copper(I) compound with the μ -1,1-SCN co-ordination mode, namely [Cu₂L(μ -1,1-SCN)₂] (L = macrocyclic Schiff base).⁵ has been structurally characterised. Herein we describe a second example of this type in the binuclear copper(I) complex [Cu₂-(μ -Ph₂Ppypz)₂(μ -1,1-SCN)][ClO₄].

We have recently prepared a new tridentate phosphine ligand, 2-(diphenylphosphino)-6-(pyrazol-1-yl)pyridine (Ph₂Ppypz) in two steps.⁶ Reaction of Ph₂PLi (generated *in situ* from Ph₂PH and LiBuⁿ), with 2,6-dichloropyridine at low temperature yielded the mono-substituted product 2-diphenylphosphino-6-chloropyridine, which in turn reacted with potassium pyrazolate to give Ph₂Ppypz. Reaction of Ph₂Ppypz with 1 equivalent of [Cu(MeCN)₄][ClO₄] resulted in the formation of [Cu₂(μ -Ph₂Ppypz)₂(MeCN)₂][ClO₄]₂,⁶ which reacted with NaN₃ or KSCN in MeCN at room temperature to afford [Cu₂(μ -Ph₂Ppypz)₂(μ -1,1-N₃)][ClO₄] **1** or [Cu₂(μ -Ph₂Ppypz)₂(μ -1,1-SCN)][ClO₄] **2** in high yield.[‡] Subsequent diffusion of Et₂O into their MeCN solutions gave crystals of **1**·Et₂O or **2** suitable for X-ray crystallography.§

The IR spectrum of $[Cu_2(\mu-Ph_2Ppypz)_2(\mu-1,1-N_3)][ClO_4]$ · Et₂O shows the characteristic asymmetric N₃ stretching vibration at 2037 cm⁻¹, which is in good agreement with the existence of the end-on bonded azide group, but a little lower than that (about 2070 cm⁻¹) of binuclear copper(II) complexes with a (μ -1,1-N₃) bridge.^{2c,d,7-9} The IR spectrum of $[Cu_2(\mu-Ph_2-$ Ppypz)₂(μ -1,1-SCN)][ClO₄] shows the symmetric SCN stretching vibrations at 2091 (mainly CN) and 693 cm⁻¹ (mainly CS), which are comparable to those (2105 and 700 cm⁻¹) of the complex [Cu₂L(μ -1,1-SCN)₂] (L = macrocyclic Schiff base).⁵ The ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectra of **1**·Et₂O and **2** show a singlet at δ 18.51 and 20.57, respectively.

The molecular structure of the cation $[Cu_2(\mu-Ph_2Ppypz)_{2^-}(\mu-1,1-N_3)]^+$ in $1\cdot Et_2O$ is depicted in Fig. 1. The two copper(1) centres are bridged by two Ph_2Ppypz ligands and a disordered μ -1,1-azide§ (see legend to Fig. 1). The geometry at each copper centre is highly distorted but, despite the large N(6)–Cu(1)–P(1) and N(3)–Cu(2)–P(2) angles of 130.4(1) and 139.9(1)°, better described as a distorted square pyramid with the azide bridge in the axial position, rather than as a trigonal bipyramid. The Cu(1)–Cu(2) distance of 2.773(1) Å is significantly shorter than

[†] E-Mail: tcwmak@cuhk.edu.hk

[‡] Preparation of $[Cu_2(\mu-Ph_2Ppypz)_2(\mu-1,1-N_3)][ClO_4]$ ·Et₂O and $[Cu_2-(\mu-Ph_2Ppypz)_2(\mu-1,1-SCN)][ClO_4]$. Solid NaN₃ or KSCN (0.30 mmol) was added to a solution of $[Cu_2(\mu-Ph_2Ppypz)_2(MeCN)_2][ClO_4]_2$ (0.32 g, 0.30 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h. Filtration followed by vapour diffusion of Et₂O into their concentrated solutions afforded yellow crystals. Complex 1·Et₂O (0.25 g, 83%): IR (KBr disc) v(N₃) 2037 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 8.63 (d, *J* = 0.6, 3.45 (t, *J* = 0.4, 2 H), 1.21 (t, *J* = 0.4 Hz, 3 H); ³¹P-{¹H} NMR δ 8.58 (d, *J* = 0.5, 2 H), 8.17 (m, 4 H), 7.31 (m, 20 H), 7.07 (m, 4 H), 6.55 (t, *J* = 0.5 Hz); ³¹P-{¹H} NMR δ 20.57.

[§] Crystal data. [Cu₂(μ-Ph₂Ppypz)₂(μ-1,1-N₃)][ClO₄]·Et₂O, C₄₀H₃₂Cl-Cu₂N₉O₄P₂·Et₂O, M = 1001.34, monoclinic, space group *P2/c* (no. 13), a = 25.124(2), b = 12.824(1), c = 14.796(1) Å, $\beta = 104.62(10)^\circ$, Z = 4, μ (Mo-K α) = 1.104 mm⁻¹; 6526 observed data [$|F_o| > 4\sigma(F_o)$] out of 7305 unique reflections converged to $R_F \equiv \Sigma(|F_o| - |F_c|)/\Sigma|F_o| = 0.064$ and $R_{wF}^2 \equiv \{[\Sigmaw(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2]/[\Sigmaw|F_o|^2]\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.079$.

[[]Cu₂(μ-Ph₂Ppypz)₂(μ-1,1-SCN)][ClO₄], C₄₁H₃₂ClCu₂N₇O₄P₂S, M = 943.30, triclinic, space group $P\bar{1}$ (no. 2), a = 10.919(2), b = 13.535(3), c = 14.882(3) Å, a = 71.86(3), $\beta = 83.06(3)$, $\gamma = 87.94(3)^\circ$, Z = 2, μ(Mo-Ka) = 1.267 mm⁻¹; 5604 observed data out of 6269 unique reflections converged to $R_F = 0.054$ and $R_{wF}^2 = 0.060$. CCDC reference number 186/778.



Fig. 1 Perspective view (35% thermal ellipsoids) of the $[Cu_2(\mu Ph_2Ppypz)_2(\mu-1,1-N_3)]^+$ cation in $1 \cdot Et_2O$. For clarity the disordered azide ligand is shown in only one of its two populated locations; dimensions relating to the N(7') atom of the alternative location are enclosed in square brackets. Pertinent bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Cu(1)-Cu(2) 2.773(1), Cu(1)-P(1) 2.192(2), Cu(2)-P(2) 2.173(1), Cu(1)-N(7) 2.10(2) [2.09(2)], Cu(1)-N(4), 2.030(5), Cu(1)-N(6), 2.150(4), Cu(2)-N(7) 2.22(1) [1.93(1)], Cu(2)-N(1), 2.084(4), Cu(2)-N(3) 2.084(4); Cu(1)-N(7)-Cu(2) 79.8(4) [87.1(5)], N(7)-Cu(1)-Cu(2) 52.1(4), [44.1(4)], N(7)-Cu(2)-Cu(1) 48.1(4) [48.8(6)], N(6)-Cu(1)-P(1) 130.4(1), N(4)-Cu(1)-Cu(2) 152.4(2), N(1)-Cu(2)-Cu(1) 154.7(1), N(3)-Cu(2)-P(2) 139.9(1), N(4)-Cu(1)-N(6) 78.0(2), N(1)-Cu(2)-N(3) 78.5(2)



Fig. 2 Perspective view (35% thermal ellipsoids) of the $[Cu_2(\mu-Ph_2Ppyp_2)_2(\mu-1,1-SCN)]^+$ cation in 2. Pertinent bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Cu(1)-Cu(2) 2.766(1), Cu(1)-S(1) 2.374(1), Cu(1)-N(4) 2.088(3), Cu(1)-N(6) 2.096(2), Cu(2)-S(1) 2.412(1), Cu(2)-N(1) 2.083(3), Cu(2)-N(3) 2.119(2); Cu(1)-S(1)-Cu(2) 70.6(1), S(1)-Cu(1)-Cu(2) 54.1(1), S(1)-Cu(2)-Cu(1) 55.3(1), N(6)-Cu(1)-P(1) 138.0(1), N(4)-Cu(1)-Cu(2) 159.9(1), N(1)-Cu(2)-N(3) 78.0(2)

those of binuclear copper(II) complexes with µ-1,1-azide bridges, which are usually over 3.0 Å.^{2,7-9} Since the Cu \cdots Cu distances of two independent cations in [Cu2(µ-Ph2Ppypz)2-(MeCN)₂][ClO₄]₂ are 3.625(1) and 3.587(1) Å,⁶ the short Cu(1)–Cu(2) distance is mainly caused, not by the rigidity of the bridging phosphine ligand, but by the desire for both Cu^I atoms to maximize σ bonding with the sp orbital of the azide ligand, which is indicated by the very acute angles N(7)-Cu(1)-Cu(2) {52.1(4) [44.1(4)]} and N(7)-Cu(2)-Cu(1) {48.1(4) $[48.8(6)]^{\circ}$. This is the probable reason for the stabilisation of the Cu^I state. The azide bridge angle Cu(1)–N(7)–Cu(2) is 79.8(4) [87.1(5)]°, and such a small angle has never been found in binuclear copper(II) complexes with μ -1,1-azide bridges.^{2,7-9} The Cu-N (pypz) bond lengths of 2.150(4) and 2.030(5) Å for Cu(1), and 2.084(4) and 2.084(4) Å for Cu(2) are typical for a copper(1) centre chelated by nitrogen heterocycles.¹⁰ The N-Cu-N 'bite angles' of 78.0(2) for Cu(1) and 78.5(2)° for Cu(2) for the bidentate pyridylpyrazole fragments are usual for relatively rigid bidentate diamine ligands, and the values are very similar to that [78.9(3)°] found in [Cu₃L'₂(MeCN)₂][PF₆]₃ $[L' = 2,6-bis(5-methylpyrazol-3-yl)pyridine]^{11}$ and those [78.9(2)] and 78.6(2)°] found in [Cu₂(µ-Ph₂Ppypz)₂(MeCN)₂][ClO₄]₂.6

Fig. 2 shows a perspective drawing of the cation $[Cu_2(\mu-Ph_2-Ppypz)_2(\mu-1,1-SCN)]^+$ in **2** with the atom numbering scheme. The molecular structure is very similar to that in $1 \cdot Et_2O$ except that the μ -1,1-azide bridge is replaced here by a μ -1,1-thiocyanate bridge. The choice of sulfur rather than nitrogen as the bridge atom is presumably associated with the class b or 'soft' nature of Cu^I. The bond length of 2.766(1) Å for Cu(1)–Cu(2) is similar to that in $1 \cdot Et_2O$, but shorter than that [2.796(8) Å] of $[Cu_2L(\mu-1,1-SCN)_2]$ (L = macrocyclic Schiff base).⁵ The Cu–S distances of 2.374(1) and 2.412(1) Å are in good agreement with the corresponding distances [2.37(1) and 2.39(1) Å] in $[Cu_2L(\mu-1,1-SCN)_2]$.⁵ The Cu–S–Cu angle of 70.6(1)° is smaller than the azide angle in $1 \cdot Et_2O$, which is a consequence of the longer Cu–S distances compared to Cu–N.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Hong Kong Research Grants Council Earmarked Grant Ref. No. CUHK 311/94P and the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

References

- E. I. Solomon, M. J. Baldwin and M. D. Lowery, *Chem. Rev.*, 1992, 92, 521; K. D. Karlin and Z. Tyeklár, *Bioinorganic Chemistry of Copper*, Chapman and Hall, New York, London, 1993, pp. 143–196.
- See, for example, (a) L. K. Thompson, S. S. Tandon and M. E. Manuel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, 34, 2356; (b) J. H. Satcher, jun., M. W. Droege, T. J. R. Weakley and R. T. Taylor, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, 34, 3317; (c) G. A. van Albada, M. T. Lakin, N. Veldman, A. L. Spek and J. Reedijk, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, 34, 4910; (d) M.-V. Chow, Z.-Y. Zhou and T. C. W. Mak, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, 31, 4900.
- 3 R. F. Ziolo, A. P. Gaughan, Z. Dori, C. G. Pierpont and R. Eisenberg, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1971, **10**, 1289.
- 4 See, for example, M. Julve, M. Verdaguer, G. De Munno, J. A. Real and G. Bruno, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 795; V. Kettmann, J. Kratsmar-Smogrovic, O. Svajlenova and M. Zemlicka, *Z. Naturforsch.*, *Teil B*, 1992, **47**, 1565; G. De Munno, G. Bruno, F. Nicolo, M. Julve and J. A. Real, *Acta Crystallogr.*, *Sect. C*, 1993, **49**, 457.
- 5 S. M. Nelson, F. S. Esho and M. G. B. Drew, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 388.
- 6 S.-M. Kuang, Q.-G. Wang, Z.-Z. Zhang and T. C. W. Mak, unpublished work.
- 7 K. D. Karlin, B. I. Cohen, J. C. Hayes, A. Farooq and J. Zubieta, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, 26, 147.
- 8 M. A. S. Goher and T. C. W. Mak, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1985, 99, 223.
- 9 T. C. W. Mak and M. A. S. Goher, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1986, 115, 17.
- 10 M. Melnik, L. Macaskova and C. E. Holloway, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **126**, 71.
- 11 A. T. Baker, D. C. Craig and G. Dong, Inorg. Chem., 1996, 35, 1091.

Received 29th September 1997; Communication 7/06986C